

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,791	03/15/2006	Masanori Masuda	DK-US065035	2798
22919 7550 98/20/2008 GLOBAL IP COUNSELORS, LLP 1233 20TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			TRIEU, THERESA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/571,791 MASUDA, MASANORI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Theresa Trieu 3748 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on Mar. 15. 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date Mar. 15, 2006.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/571,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt and entry of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on Mar. 15, 2006 is acknowledged.

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "42" (se page 13, line 17- Fig. 2). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 14, line 4, "43" should be changed to -- 42 --. Appropriate correction is required.
- The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly
 indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,791 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (Patent Number 453,641).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 9, as shown in Figs. 1-3, Johnson discloses a rotary fluid machine comprising a first rotation mechanism (not numbered; however, clearly seen in Fig. 2) and a second rotation mechanism (not numbered; however, clearly seen in Fig. 2), each of which including: a cylinder 4, 4^x, 6, 6^x having an annular cylinder chamber 5, 5^x; an annular piston 10, 10x which is contained in the cylinder chamber 5, 5x to be eccentric to the cylinder 6, 6x and divides the cylinder chamber into an outer working chamber 5, 5x and an inner working chamber 5, 5x; and a blade 15 which is arranged in the cylinder chamber to divide each of the working chambers into a high pressure region and a low pressure region, the piston 10 and the cylinder 4, 4x, 6, 6x serving as co-operating parts and any one of the piston 10 and the cylinder being stationary and the other being moving such that the moving co-operating part rotates about the stationary co-operating part, wherein the first rotation mechanism and the second rotation mechanism are arranged to be adjacent to each other with a partition plate 2 sandwiched therebetween and the two moving co-operating parts 4, 4^x, 6, 6^x or the two stationary cooperating parts 4, 4x, 6, 6x of the first rotation mechanism and the second rotation mechanism are arranged such that one of the co-operating parts is provided at one side of the end plate and the other is provided at the other side of the partition plate 2; the inner working chambers 5 of the cylinder chambers of the first and the second rotation mechanisms serve as low-stage compression/compression chambers and the outer working chambers 5 of the cylinder chambers of the first and the second rotation mechanisms serve as high-stage compression chambers/expansion chambers; the partition plate 2 serves as the end plates of the co-operating parts $4, 4^x, 6, 6^x$ of the first and the second rotation mechanisms; the first and the second rotation mechanisms are configured to rotate with a 90° phase difference from each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Nash (Patent Number 300,628)

Johnson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Johnson fails to disclose individual end plates.

Nash teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize the co-operating part A of the first rotation mechanism (see Fig. 1) and the co-operating part (A) of the second rotation mechanism adjacent to the first rotation mechanism have individual end plates E, and the partition plate (B') is formed of the end plates (E) of the co-operating parts (A) of the first and second rotation mechanisms. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made, to have utilized the individual end plates, as taught by Nash in the Johnson apparatus, since the use thereof would have controlled the eccentric movement of the piston.

 Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Sugimoto (Patent Number 4,990,071)

Johnson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Johnson fails to disclose a compliance mechanism.

Regarding claim 6, as shown in Fig. 1, Sugimoto teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize the moving co-operating parts 213, 223 of the first and second rotation mechanisms are connected to a drive shaft 60 and each of the first and the second rotation mechanism is provided with a compliance mechanism 33 for adjusting the position of the co-operating parts 213, 223 in the axial direction of the drive shaft (33). With regard claim 8, Sugimoto discloses the moving co-operating parts 213, 223 of the first and second rotation mechanisms are connected to a drive shaft 60 and a balance weight 693 is provided at part of the drive shaft 60 located between the end plates of the co-operating parts of the first and the second rotation mechanisms adjacent to each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the compliance mechanism, as taught by Sugimoto in the Johnson apparatus, since the use thereof would have adjust the position of the co-operating parts in the axial direction of the drive shaft.

 Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of Ni (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0194340)

Johnson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Johnson fails to disclose a compliance mechanism. Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 7, as shown in Fig. 1, Ni teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize the moving co-operating parts 61, 61' of the first and second rotation mechanisms are connected to a drive shaft 40 and each of the first rotation mechanism and the second rotation mechanism is provided with a compliance mechanism 68 for adjusting the position of the co-operating parts 61, 61' in the direction orthogonal to the axial direction of the drive shaft 40. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the compliance mechanism, as taught by Ni in the Johnson apparatus, since the use thereof would have adjust the position of the co-operating parts in a direction orthogonal to the axial direction of the drive shaft.

 Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of JP (Publication Number JP 51-23371)

Johnson discloses the invention as recited above; however, Johnson fails to disclose a swing bushing.

Regarding claim 10, as shown in Figs. 4-6, JP teaches that it is conventional in the art to utilize in each of the first and second rotation mechanisms, part of the annular piston 10 is cut off such that the piston is C-shaped, the blade 9 extends from the inner wall surface to the outer wall surface of the cylinder chamber 8 and passes through the cut-off portion of the piston 10 and a swing bushing 11 is provided in the cut-off portion of the piston 10 to contact the piston and the blade 9 via the surfaces thereof such that the blade 9 freely reciprocates and the blade 9 and the piston 10 make relative swings. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have utilized the swing bushing, as taught by JP in the

Johnson apparatus, since the use thereof would have performed the reciprocating and sliding motions of the blade with respect to the piston.

Prior Art

- The IDS (PTO-1449) filed on Mar. 15, 2006 has been considered. An initialized copy is attached hereto.
- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of three patents: Rydberg et al. (U.S. Patent Number 2,966,898), Rydberg et al. (U.S. Patent Number 3,782,865), each further discloses a state of the art.

Conclusion

12. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP \$2163.06 II(A), MPEP \$2163.06 and MPEP \$714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,791 Page 8

Art Unit: 3748

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 571-272-4868. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

/Theresa Trieu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748